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$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{j}=\rho_{j 0}{ }^{\circ}\left[1+\frac{k_{j}\left(p-p_{0}\right)}{\rho_{j 0}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{j 0}{ }^{2}}\right]^{1 / k_{j}} \quad(j=2,3) \\
x=\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right) /\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here the constants $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ denote the isentropic indices for the liquid and solid component and $\gamma_{1}$ is the gas isentropic exponent (the gas is assumed perfect).

In particular for air, water and quartz under the normal conditions we can assume that the true mass densities are $0.125,102$ and 265 kg . $\mathrm{sec}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{4}$, the velocities of sound are 330,1500 and $4500 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ and the isentropic exponents are $1.4,3$ and 3 , respectively. By virtue of the above, for air and water we have, respectively, $\rho^{\circ}{ }_{10} c_{10}{ }^{2}=1.31 \cdot 10^{4} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\rho_{20}{ }^{\circ} c_{10}{ }^{2}=2.25 \cdot 10^{8} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Using these values together with the formula (1.10) we find, that, for $f_{1}=0.01$ the velocity of sound in the air-water mixtute is $c=114 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$, while for $f_{1}=0.1$ it falls to $38 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}$ which is less than the velocity of sound in any of the components. The Fig. 1 depicts the velocities of the shock waves for air-water mixture obtained from (2.4) and (2.5), plotted (in solid lines) as the functions of $f_{10}$ versus the pressure ratio $p / p_{0}$ at the discontinuity where $p_{0}=1 \mathrm{~atm}$. Results of [2] are shown in broken lines.
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Variational principles were used as a starting point for constructing models of various continuous media in [1-5], where their application was studied in detail. In the present paper which is a continuation of [6], the generalized variational relation is extended to embrace the media possessing surfaces of discontinuity of the crack type. A problem concerning the character of a singular solution to the plane problem near the contorr of
a spreading crack is considered for a medium whose energy and stresses depend on the gradient of the deformation tensor.

1. Let us consider an arbitrary isolated volume $V\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}, t\right)$ of a continuous medium referred to the Lagrangian coordinates $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}$ and $\xi^{3}$ and the time $t$ and containing a part of the discontinuity sur-


Fig. 1 face $\Sigma$ (Fig. 1 ).

The law of motion represented by the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{i}=x^{i}\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}, t\right)= \\
& =\xi^{i}+u^{i}\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}, \xi^{3}, t\right) \\
& x^{4}=t \quad(i=1,2,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

will constitute the required relation in the observer ( ${ }^{*}$ ) system defining the motion of the medium.

In the Newtonian mechanics we assume that the equality $x^{4}=t$ holds, and the absolute time is considered as a scalar quantity.

In paper [6] we considered the surfaces of discontinuity which could move freely over the particles of the medium. The quantity $\delta l_{n}$ determining the normal displacement of the surface, was different from zero. In this paper we consider the case when $\delta l_{n}=0$ and where all variations $\delta \Sigma$ of the surface of discontinuity result from the fact that it is imbedded in the medium along the hyperbolic arc $A_{c} B$. The slope of this arc characterizes the rate of such an imbedding, $i$. $e$. the rate of propagation of the contour of a two-dimensional surface of discontinuity past the particles of the medium, in the space of initial states. We shall assume, for definiteness, that the particle displacement vector becomes discontinuous at the surface $\Sigma$. Obviously, $\Sigma$ will be cylindrical with respect to time within the space in question.

We shall use the following variational relation [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{V_{4}} \Lambda \sqrt{g} d \tau_{4}+\delta W+\delta W^{*}=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain the conditions at the surface $\Sigma$.
Here $\Lambda$ is a Lagrangian function depending, in a manner admitted by the invariance considerations, on the particle velocity $v^{\omega}$, the initial density $\rho_{0}$, the entropy $S$, the metric tensors $g_{i k}{ }^{\circ}$ and $g_{i k}$ and on the gradients $\nabla_{k}^{\circ} g_{i j}\left(g=\operatorname{det}\left\|g_{i k}\right\|\right)$. The functional $\delta W^{*}$ which is given, contains terms describing the entropy changes, the body forces $K_{\omega}$, and the energy necessary to form a new area element of the surface of discontinuity
*) We shall construct our discussion within the framework of the theory of finite deformations and for this reason we must keep in mind two additional systems apart from the observer's system, namely the actual system defining the metric $g_{i k}\left(\xi^{\alpha}, t\right)$ of the present instant and the initial system with a metric tensor $g_{i h}{ }^{0}\left(\xi^{\alpha}\right)=g_{i L}\left(\xi^{\alpha}, t_{0}\right)$. The latter and the observer's system, can both be assumed Cartesian, provided that $g_{i k^{\circ}}=\delta_{i l}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta W^{*}=\int_{V_{4}}\left(\rho T \delta S+\rho K_{\omega} \delta u^{\omega}+\rho K_{\omega} \nu^{\omega} \delta x^{4}\right) d \tau_{4}+\int_{A_{c}} 2 \gamma \delta \Sigma_{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Functional $\delta W$ is represented by an integral of the linear combination of the defining parameters taken over the boundary $\pi$ of the four-dimensional volume $V_{4}$ and can be expressed in terms of the known $\Lambda$ and $\delta W^{*}\left[{ }^{1}\right]$.

In evaluating the variations of action in (1.1) we take into account the fact that not only the parameters of the medium, but also the surface of discontinuity $\Sigma$ along $A_{c} B$ itself will be the subject to variation, Let the surface $\Sigma+\delta \Sigma$ (Fig. 1) act as reference for the variable surface of discontinuity. The variation of action is defined as the principal linear part of the difference of integrals computed over the volume $V_{4}{ }^{\prime \prime}=V_{4}-$ $-(\Sigma+\delta \Sigma)$ and the volume $V_{4}{ }^{\prime}=V_{4}-\Sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{V_{4}} \Lambda \sqrt{g} d \tau_{4}=\int_{V_{4^{\prime \prime}}}(\Lambda \sqrt{g}) d \tau_{4}-\int_{V_{4}^{\prime}} \Lambda \sqrt{g} d \tau_{4} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute this difference we shall change the region of integration of the first integral to $V_{4}-\Sigma$, using the following change of variable

$$
t^{\prime}=t+\delta t\left(\xi^{x}, t\right),\left.\quad \delta t\right|_{\pi}=0
$$

the latter transforming $A_{c} B$ into $A_{d} B$. Assuming that the Jacobian of the transformation is equal to $1+\partial \delta t / \partial t$ with the accuracy of up to the higher order infinitesimals, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \int_{V_{4}} \Lambda \sqrt{g} d \tau_{4}=\int_{V_{t^{\prime}}} \delta(\Lambda \sqrt{g}) d \tau_{4}+\int_{V_{4}^{\prime}} \Lambda \sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \delta t}{\partial t} d \tau_{4} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All variations appearing in the first term of $(1.4)$ are computed in the manner analogous to that used in [6]. At the same time we assume that the total variation $\delta_{1}$ of all quantities is given by $\quad \delta_{1} A-\left(\delta A+A^{\cdot} \delta t\right)+A^{\cdot} \delta x^{4}$

The variation $\delta_{1}$ is understood to be a variation of the integral appearing in the left part of (1.4). The variation $\delta x^{4}$ is assumed an arbitrary constant in Newtonian mechanics.

Assuming now that the function $\Lambda \sqrt{g}$ undergoing the variation may have integrable singularities on the contour $A_{\mathrm{c}} B$, we shall compute the integrals in (1.4) over the region $V_{4}-V_{\varepsilon}-\Sigma$ where $V_{\varepsilon}$ denotes a narrow $\varepsilon$-tube enveloping the contour $A_{c} B$ and merging smoothly with the surface $\Sigma$. Having performed the variation we find, that the volume integral contains the variational Lagrange's equations. Moreover, the equations of motion will accompany the variations $\delta u^{\omega}$, the energy equations the variation $\delta x^{4}$ and the thermodynamic relation the variation $\delta S$. We also obtain the boundary conditions on the main part of the surface $\Sigma$ and assume them to hold (in the present case these conditions reduce to the absence of the force .nd momentum stresses on $\Sigma$ ). Assuming further that all variations on the surface $\pi$ are cuual to zero we obtain, after the usual manipulations (*),

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}^{\mathrm{s})}\left[\int_{\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}}\left(J_{\omega} n_{t}-\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{j} n_{j}{ }^{\circ}\right) \delta u^{\omega} d \sigma_{3}+\int_{\Sigma_{c}}\left(\Lambda \sqrt{g} n_{l} \delta t+\right.\right.
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q^{k i i} n_{k}{ }^{\circ} \delta g_{i j}\right) d \sigma_{3}+\int_{A_{\varepsilon} B} 2 \gamma n_{t} \delta t d \lambda_{2}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ denotes the surface of the four-dimensional volume $V_{\varepsilon}, d \sigma_{s}$ is the area element of this surface, $d \lambda_{2}$ is the element of the hyperarc $A_{c} B, n_{t}$ and $n_{j}{ }^{\circ}$ denote the components of the vector normal to the surface $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ and to the contour $A_{c} B$ in the adjacent space and the quantities $J_{\omega}, p_{k}^{j}$ and $Q^{k i j}$ are defined by [6]

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{\omega}=\frac{\partial \Lambda \sqrt{g}}{\partial v^{\omega}}, \quad Q^{k i j}=\frac{\partial \Lambda \sqrt{g}}{\partial \nabla_{k}{ }^{\circ} g_{i j}}  \tag{1.7}\\
p^{i j}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial \Lambda \sqrt{g}}{\partial g_{i j}}+2 \sqrt{\frac{g_{0}}{g}} \nabla_{k}^{\circ} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{0}}} \frac{\partial \Lambda \sqrt{g}}{\nabla_{k}{ }^{\circ} g_{i j}}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integration over the space-time surface $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ and the space-time arc $A_{c} B \operatorname{in}(1,6)$ can be performed by integration over their spatial parts $\Sigma_{8}\left(d \sigma_{2}\right)$ and $L\left(d \lambda_{1}\right)$, and the time $t$. The spatial parts are obtained from $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ and $A_{c} B$ by taking a cross section $t=$ $=$ const. This can be done according to the following formulas:

$$
\left|n_{j}^{0}\right| d \sigma_{3}=d \sigma_{2} d t, \quad\left|n_{j}^{0}\right| d \lambda_{2}=d \lambda_{1} d t
$$

Let us introduce the notation $N=-n_{t} /\left|n_{j}^{\circ}\right|$ and $n_{j}=n_{j}^{\circ} /\left|n_{j}^{*}\right|$. Then, omitting the integration with respect to time, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}}\left(J_{\omega} N+\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{3} n_{j}\right) \delta u^{\omega} d{\sigma_{2}}+\right. \\
\left.\left.+\int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}}\left(\Lambda \sqrt{g} N \delta t-Q^{k i j} n_{k} \delta g_{i j}\right) d \sigma_{2}+\int_{L} 2 \gamma N \delta t d \lambda_{1}\right]\right]=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

To perform further transformations, we must isolate the independent parts of the variations $\delta g_{i j}=\nabla{ }_{j} \delta u_{j}{ }^{\wedge}+\nabla_{j}{ }^{\wedge} \delta u_{i}{ }^{\wedge}$ defined on the surface. These will be the variations of displacements and their derivatives in the normal direction, Using the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) } Q^{k i j} \delta g_{i j}=2 Q^{k i j} \nabla_{i}^{n}\left(\xi_{j s} \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \delta u^{\omega}\right)= \\
& \quad=2 Q_{s}^{k i}\left[\frac{\partial \xi^{p}}{\partial x^{\omega \omega}} \Gamma_{i p}^{s}-\frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\omega}}\left(\frac{\partial x^{p}}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)\right] \delta u^{\omega}+2 Q_{s}^{k i} \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}} \frac{\partial \delta u^{\omega}}{\partial \xi^{i}} \\
& \text { (2) } \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{i}}=\left(\delta_{i}^{\alpha}-n_{i} n^{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}+n_{i} n^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}=D_{i}+n_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}
\end{aligned}
$$

we can write (1.8) in the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 3}\left\{\int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}}\left(J_{\omega} N+\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{j} n_{j}+2 \Omega_{i \omega}^{s} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{k}\right) \delta_{1} u^{\omega} d \sigma_{2}-\right. \\
-2 \int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{i} n_{k} \delta_{1} \frac{\partial u^{\omega}}{\partial n} d \sigma_{2}+ \\
+\int_{\Sigma_{\varepsilon}}\left[\left(\Lambda \sqrt{g}-J_{\omega} \nu^{\omega}\right) N-\left(\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{j} n_{j}+2 Q_{i \omega}^{s} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{k}\right) v^{\omega}+\right. \\
\left.\left.+2 \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{i} n_{k} \frac{\partial v^{\omega}}{\partial n}\right] \delta t d \sigma_{2}\right\}+\int_{L} 2 \gamma N \delta t d \lambda_{1}=0  \tag{1.9}\\
\Omega_{i \omega}^{s}=\left(n_{i} D_{\alpha} n^{\alpha}-D_{i}\right) \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}}+\frac{\partial \xi^{p}}{\partial x^{\omega}} \Gamma_{i p}^{s}-\frac{\partial \xi_{\xi}^{s}}{\partial x^{p}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\omega}}\left(\frac{\partial x^{p}}{\partial \xi^{i}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into account the arbitrariness of the variations entering (1.9), we obtain the required relations which hold along the edge of the crack. These relations can be written more conveniently by introducing the cross section perpendicular to the contour $L$ of the crack, using the line of intersection $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ of this cross section with the surface $\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}$ as a directrix, and regarding the surface $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$ itself as formed by the lines parallel to the contour $L$ and passing through $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. We then obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}}\left(J_{\omega} N+\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{j} n_{j}+2 \Omega_{i \omega}^{s} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{k}\right) d \lambda_{1}=0  \tag{1.10}\\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma \varepsilon} \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{i} n_{k} d \lambda_{1}=0  \tag{1.11}\\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow j} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}}\left[\left(J_{\omega} v^{\omega}-\Lambda \sqrt{g}\right) N+\left(\sqrt{g} \frac{\partial \xi^{k}}{\partial x^{\omega}} p_{k}^{j} n_{j}+2 \Omega_{i \omega}^{s} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{\mathbf{k}}\right) v^{\omega}-\right. \\
\left.-2 \frac{\partial \xi^{s}}{\partial x^{\omega}} Q_{s}^{k i} n_{i} n_{k} \frac{\partial v^{\omega}}{\partial n}\right] d \lambda_{1}=2 \Upsilon N \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $d \lambda_{1}$ is an element of the contour $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$. Obviously, $N$ is the rate of motion of the contour $L$ through the space of initial states, while in the contour integral it denotes the projection of this velocity on the direction normal to $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$.

Relations (1.10) and (1.11) reflect the fact that no external concentrated forces which could be described by the term $\delta W^{*}$, act on the contour of the surface of discontinuity.


Fig. 2 Relation (1.12) will constitute an additional independent condition representing the energy balance at the edge of $\Sigma_{\varepsilon}$. When the deformations are small, i.e. when

$$
\varepsilon_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{i j}-g_{i j}^{0}\right) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \xi^{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial \xi^{i}}\right)
$$

in which case we express the Lagrangian function by $L=1 / 2 \rho v^{2}-\rho U\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right)$, where $U$ is the energy of a unit mass, the expression (1.12) simplifies considerably coinciding with the analogous equation (1.1)
of [7].
2. We shall consider the problem on propagation of the surface of discontinuity of the crack type in a medium in which the energy and stresses depend on the deformations and their gradients. A system of defining equations can be obtained for such a medium from the variational relations (1.1) in which the expression $L=1 / 2 \rho v^{2}-\rho U\left(\varepsilon_{i j}\right.$, $\nabla_{k} \varepsilon_{i j}$ ) is taken as the Lagrangian function and $U$ is a positive definite quadratic function of its arguments

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon_{i i}{ }^{2}+\mu \varepsilon_{i j} \varepsilon_{i j}+k_{1} \varepsilon_{i j, k} \varepsilon_{i j, k}+k_{2} \varepsilon_{i j, k} \varepsilon_{i k, j}+ \\
& +k_{3} \varepsilon_{i j,} \varepsilon_{k i, k}+k_{4} \varepsilon_{i j, i} \varepsilon_{k k, j}+k_{5} \varepsilon_{i i, j} \varepsilon_{k k, j} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\lambda, \mu, k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$ are the elastic constants. In particular, the stresses in such a medium are given by $\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} p_{i j}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_{i j}}-\frac{\partial Q_{k i i}}{\partial x_{k}}, \quad Q_{k i j}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nabla_{k} \varepsilon_{i j}}$

Assuming that the state of plane detormation prevails in the continuous medium in the small neighborhood of each point of the crack contour, we shall direct the $Z$-axis along the surface of the crack, the $X$-axis in the direction of propagation of the crack
and the Y -axis in the direction perpendicular to it (Fig. 2). The function $l(t)$ will define the law of motion of the crack edge. Basic relations of the problem follow [8]. The equations of motion are

$$
\rho_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}=(\lambda+\mu) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x^{i}}+\mu \nabla^{2} u_{i}-\nabla^{2}\left(A \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x^{i}}+B \nabla^{2} u_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2) \backslash(2.3)
$$

and the stresses expressed in terms of displacements are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\rho_{0}} p_{\alpha \alpha}=\lambda \Delta+2 \mu \frac{\partial u_{\chi}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}-\left[2 \tau_{1} \nabla^{2} \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+\tau_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Delta}{\partial x_{\alpha}{ }^{2}}+\tau_{3} \nabla^{2} \Delta\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
& \frac{1}{\rho_{0}} p_{x y}=\mu\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)-\left[\tau_{1} \nabla^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)+\tau_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Delta}{\partial x \partial y}\right] \quad \Delta=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\lambda, \mu, \tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}, A$ and $B$ are elastic constants, last five of which are linear functions of $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$.

Denoting now the polar components of the displacement vector by $u_{r}$ and $u_{0}$, we shall seek a singular solution of the problem near the crack contour, in the following form [9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{r}=u_{r 0}(t)+r^{m} \alpha(\theta, t)+o\left(r^{m}\right) \\
& u_{\theta}=u_{\theta 0}(t)+r^{m} \beta(\theta, t)+o\left(r^{m}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha(\theta, t)$ and $\beta(\theta, t)$ are unknown functions, $m>0$ is an undefined constant, $r=\left[(x-l)^{2}+y^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ is the distance to the crack edge and $\theta$ is the polar angle.

The required value of the exponent $m$ defining the character of the singularity can be obtained, as in other similar cases [7], without solving the boundary value problem for (2.3). Condition (1.12) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{0} v^{2}+\rho_{0} U\right) l n_{1}-\left[p_{i \omega} n_{\mathbf{i}}+2 \Omega_{i \omega s}\left(\rho_{0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nabla_{k} \varepsilon_{i s}} n_{k}\right)\right] v_{\omega}+\right. \\
\left.+2 \rho_{0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nabla_{k} \varepsilon_{i \omega}} n_{i} n_{k} \frac{\partial v_{\omega}}{\partial n}\right\} d \lambda_{\mathbf{1}}=2 \gamma l^{\circ} \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $v_{\omega}=\partial u_{\omega} / \partial t$ denotes the particle velocity, $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ is an arbitrary contour surrounding the end of the crack $l(t), n_{1}=\cos (n x), \quad n_{2}=\cos (n y)$ and $l$ is the rate of propagation of the crack. All functions appearing in (2.6) have been taken directly from the singular solution and we see from (2.6) that the integrand function sould exhibit a singularity of the type $r^{-1}$ when $r \rightarrow 0$. Taking into account the fact that

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right|_{l=c \mathrm{onst}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} l
$$

we easily see that the highest terms appearing in the expression under the integral sign are of the order $2 m-4$. From this, the necessary condition $2 m-4=-1$ of the energy balance yields $m=3 / 2$.

Simple manipulations confirm that solution (2.5) satisfies also the corresponding boundary value problem for Eqs. (2.3) with the accuracy of up to the higher order infinitesimals. Assuming that $u_{r}$ is an even and $u_{\theta}$ is an odd function of $\theta$ and inserting these functions into (2.3), we obtain the following expressions for $\alpha(\theta, t)$ and $\beta(\theta, t)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(\theta, t)=c_{1} \cos (m+1) \theta+c_{2} \cos (m-1) \theta+c_{3} \cos (m-3) \theta \\
\beta(\theta, t)=-c_{1} \sin (m+1) \theta+c_{4} \sin (m-1) \theta+\sigma c_{3} \sin (m-3) \theta(2.7)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $c_{i}(t)$ are arbitrary functions of time and $\sigma$ is a known constant.
Assuming now that the surface of the crack is load-free, we obtain from the system of
boundary conditions the following four homogeneous equations [2] for the four functions of time $c_{i}(t)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{x y}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho_{0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial e_{x x, y}}\right)=0, \quad \theta=\pi \\
p_{y y}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\rho_{0} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_{x y, y}}\right)=0, \quad \theta=\pi  \tag{2.8}\\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_{x_{y, y}}}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial e_{y y, y}}=0, \quad \theta=\pi
\end{gather*}
$$

When the function $U$ is independent of the deformation gradients, these conditions coincide with the usual ones. Inserting (2.7) into (2.8) and computing the resulting determinant for the system of four equations for $c_{i}$ we find, that the determinant vanishes when $m=3 / 2$ (due to the particular form of ( 2.7 ), two rows of the determinant become equal to zero when $m=3 / 2$ and $\theta=\pi$ ) thus yielding a nontrivial solution to the problem (2.3)-(2.8). Using functions (2.7) we can follow the asymptotic distribution of stresses near the crack contour.

In conclusion we note, that inclusion of the higher order derivatives in the defining parameters results in still higher values of $m$.
The author thanks L. I. Sedov for assessing this paper and V. A. Zhelnorovich for his comments.
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[^0]:    *) Certain inaccuracies which occurred in transforming the surface integral in [6], were brought to the author's attention by Zhelnorovich [3]. For this reason the following manipulations are performed independently of [6].

